

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/773,876	01/31/2001	Robert J. Winchester	57005-A-PCT-US/JPW/AJM/AP 7963		
7:	590 01/25/2002				
Cooper & Dunham LLP			EXAMINER		
1185 Avenue of the Americas			SCHWADRON, RONALD B		
New York, NY	10036	Servitation, Remital a			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		1644			
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

No. Applicant(s)

09/773,876

Winchester et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Ron Schwadron

1644



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with	the corres	pondence address
Period fe	or Reply			3
A SHO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE1	MONTH	H(S) FROM
THE N	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF	R 1.136 (a). In no event	t, however,	may a reply be timely filed
	er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	non.		
_				
	considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p mmunication.			
- Anv r	mmunication. e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the	statute, cause the applic mailing date of this com	munication,	even if timely filed, may reduce any
ea	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status 1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowance experience.		ters, prose	ecution as to the merits is
3)∐	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	rte Quayle, 1935 C.C). 11; 453	O.G. 213.
	tion of Claims			a anadina in the englishting
	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>			
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-17</u>	are subje	ct to restri	iction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the E	xaminer.	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□	approved	l b)□ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a	a)-(d).
· a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have		. 11 13	NI.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
*0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	11.	
14)				
A 1-				
Attachn	nent(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Pap	er No(s).
- =	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal P		
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-6 are drawn to a method of treatment, classified in Class 514, subclass 825 and 2.
- II. Claims 7-12,16,17 are drawn to a composition, classified in Class 514, subclasses 885 and 2.
 - III. Claims 13-15 are drawn to an assay method, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.2.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the claimed invention can be used to immunopurify antibodies which bind said agent.
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the claimed invention can be used to immunopurify antibodies which bind said agent.
- 4. Inventions I and III are different methods. These inventions require different ingredients and process steps to achieve different goals. Invention I is an in vivo method of treatment while invention III is a screening method. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-III is not required for any other group from Groups I-III and Groups I-III have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. <u>If applicant elects invention I or II, the following species election is required.</u>

- A) This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention,
 - a) the claimed invention that recites bicyclam
 - b)the claimed invention that recites oligopeptide
 - c)the claimed invention that recites human antibody
 - d)the claimed invention that recites chimeric antibody
 - e)the claimed invention that recites humanized antibody.

The aforementioned are chemically and functionally distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

7. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention

- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 9. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 at (703) 308-

Serial No. 09/773876 Art Unit 1644

4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner 10. should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703) 308-4680. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00. A message may be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ms Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1644

RONALD B. SCHWADRON PRIMARY EXAMINER

GFIOUP 1800 (600